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A MULTISCALE MORTAR MIXED FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR SLIGHTLY COMPRRESSIBLE FLOWS IN POROUS MEDIA

MI-YOUNG KIM*, EUN-JAE PARK†, SUNIL G. THOMAS, AND MARY F. WHEELER‡

Abstract. We consider multiscale mortar mixed finite element discretizations for slightly compressible Darcy flows in porous media. This paper is an extension of the formulation introduced by Arbogast et al. for the incompressible problem [2]. In this method, flux continuity is imposed via a mortar finite element space on a coarse grid scale, while the equations in the coarse elements (or subdomains) are discretized on a fine grid scale. Optimal fine scale convergence is obtained by an appropriate choice of mortar grid and polynomial degree of approximation. Parallel numerical simulations on some multiscale benchmark problems are given to show the efficiency and effectiveness of the method.

1. Introduction

We consider a nonlinear second order parabolic equation that models slightly compressible Darcy flow in porous media [3]:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi \rho_w(p) - \nabla \cdot K \rho_w(p)(\nabla p - g \rho_w(p) \nabla D) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times J,
\]

\[
p = p_b \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times J,
\]

\[
p = p_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\},
\]

where \( \phi \) is the porosity of the medium, \( p \) the pressure, \( \rho_w \) the fluid density, \( K \) a symmetric, uniformly positive definite tensor with components representing the absolute permeability divided by the viscosity, \( g \) the magnitude of the gravitational acceleration, \( D \) the depth, and \( f \) external mass flow rate; and \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \), \( d = 2 \) or \( 3 \), is the domain and \( J = [0, T] \). The equation of state is
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given by
\[ \frac{d\rho_w}{\rho_w} = c_f dp, \]
where \( c_f \) is the fluid compressibility constant. For simplicity we have assumed Dirichlet boundary condition, but more general boundary conditions can be treated by the analysis and computations.

Mixed finite element methods have been successfully applied to several areas of interest, in particular, fluid flows in porous media [11, 27, 29]. Two features of the mixed method are that it enjoys local mass conservation property and provides accurate fluxes whose normal components are continuous across inter-element boundaries. A number of papers and books deal with the analysis and implementation of mixed methods applied to linear elliptic problems on conforming grids (see, e.g., [25, 22, 6, 5, 12, 21, 29, 13] and [26, 7], respectively). Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic problems are treated in [20, 23, 17]. For multiscale approximation of the mixed system, the reader is referred to [8, 18]. Recently, in [2], Arbogast et al. proposed and analyzed multiscale mortar mixed methods for modeling Darcy flow. This approach is based on domain decomposition theory [15] and mortar finite elements [4, 1]. In this paper, we further investigate the multiscale mortar mixed method and extend the results to the nonlinear parabolic problem.

We denote by \( W^{k,p}(S) \) the standard Sobolev space of \( k \)-differentiable functions in \( L^p(S) \). Let \( \| \cdot \|_{k,S} \) be the norm of \( H^k(S) = W^{k,2}(S) \) or \( H^k(S)^d \), where we omit \( S \) if \( S = \Omega \) and drop \( k \) if \( k = 0 \). Let \( W^{k,p}(J;W^{j,q}(\Omega)) \) denote the usual set of functions with the norm
\[ \| \psi \|_{W^{k,p}(J;W^{j,q}(\Omega))} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k} \left( \int_J \left\| \frac{\partial^i \psi}{\partial t^i} \right\|_{W^{j,q}(\Omega)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \]
where if \( p = \infty \), the integral is replaced by the essential supremum.

We make the following assumptions on the data: There is a positive constant \( \alpha \) such that
(A1) \( \phi \in L^\infty(\Omega) \) and \( \frac{1}{\alpha} \leq \phi(x) \leq \alpha \),
(A2) \( \rho_w \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( \frac{1}{\alpha} \leq \rho_w, \rho'_w, \rho''_w \leq \alpha \),
(A3) \( K \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{d\times d} \) and \( \frac{1}{\alpha} \leq \xi^T K(x) \xi \leq \alpha \) for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \).

We will denote by \( C \) a generic positive constant independent of \( h \), unless otherwise stated.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Our method is formulated in the next section. After defining some projection operators in §3, we derive related elliptic projection error estimates in §4. A priori error bounds are then established in §5. In §6, we present the results of several numerical experiments which show the efficiency and effectiveness of the method. Conclusions are given in the last section.
2. Formulation of the method

Let $\Omega$ be decomposed into nonoverlapping subdomain blocks $\Omega_i$, so that $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\Omega}_i$ and $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Let $\Gamma_{i,j} = \partial \Omega_i \cap \partial \Omega_j$, $\Gamma = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Gamma_{i,j}$, and $\Gamma_i = \partial \Omega_i \cap \Gamma = \partial \Omega_i \setminus \partial \Omega$ denote interior block interfaces. Let

$$V_i = H(\text{div}; \Omega_i), \quad V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} V_i,$$

$$W_i = L^2(\Omega_i), \quad W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} W_i = L^2(\Omega),$$

$$M_{i,j} = H^{1/2}(\Gamma_{i,j}), \quad M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{i,j}.$$

It is useful to introduce a flux variable

$$u = -K\rho_w(p)(\nabla p - g\rho_w(p)\nabla D).$$

Then we solve for the pressure $p$ and the velocity $u$ satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad K^{-1}\rho_w^{-1}(p) u = -\nabla p + g\rho_w(p)\nabla D \quad \text{in } \Omega \times J,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi \rho_w(p) + \nabla \cdot u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \times J,$$

$$(2.6) \quad p = p_b \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times J,$$

$$(2.7) \quad p = p_0 \quad \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}.$$

The weak form of (2.4)-(2.7) is given by seeking a map $\{u, p, \lambda\} : J \to V \times W \times M$ such that, for each $i$,

$$(2.8) \quad (K^{-1}\rho_w^{-1}(p) u, v)_{\Omega_i} = (p, \nabla \cdot v)_{\Omega_i} - \langle \lambda, v \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\Gamma_i},$$

$$(2.9) \quad - \langle p_b, v \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\partial \Omega_i \setminus \Gamma} + (g\rho_w(p)\nabla D, v)_{\Omega_i}, \quad v \in V_i,$$

$$(2.10) \quad (\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_w(p), w)_{\Omega_i} + (\nabla \cdot u, w)_{\Omega_i} = (f, w)_{\Omega_i}, \quad w \in W_i,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle \mu, u \cdot \nu_j \rangle_{\Gamma_i} = 0, \quad \mu \in M,$$

with the initial condition $p = p_0$, where $\nu_i$ is the outer unit normal to $\partial \Omega_i$. Note that $\lambda$ is the pressure on the block interfaces $\Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{h,i}$ be a conforming, quasi-uniform finite element partition of $\Omega_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, of maximal element diameter $h_i$. Let $h = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_i$. Note that we allow for the possibility that $\mathcal{T}_{h,i}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{h,j}$ need not align on $\Gamma_{i,j}$. Define $\mathcal{T}_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{T}_{h,i}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_h$ be the union of all interior edges (faces) not including the interfaces and the outer boundary. Let

$$V_{h,i} \times W_{h,i} \subset V_i \times W_i.$$
be any of the usual mixed finite element spaces, (e.g., those of [25, 22, 6, 5]).
Then let
\[ V_h = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} V_{h,i}, \quad W_h = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} W_{h,i}. \]

Although the normal components of vectors in \( V_h \) are continuous between elements within each block \( \Omega_i \), there is no such restriction across \( \Gamma \).

Let the mortar interface mesh \( T_{H,i,j} \) be a quasi-uniform finite element partition of \( \Gamma_{i,j} \) with maximal element diameter \( H_{i,j} \). Let \( H = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} H_{i,j} \).

Define \( T^{V:H} = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} T_{H,i,j} \). Denote by \( M_{H,i,j} \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma_{i,j}) \) the mortar space on \( \Gamma_{i,j} \), containing either the continuous or discontinuous piecewise polynomials of degree \( m \) on \( T_{H,i,j} \), where \( m \) is at least \( k+1 \) and \( k \) is associated with the degree of the polynomials in \( V_h \cdot \nu \). Now let
\[ M_H = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} M_{H,i,j} \]
be the mortar finite element space on \( \Gamma \). We require that the following condition be satisfied. For each subdomain \( \Omega_i \), define a projection \( Q_{h,i} : \mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma_i) \rightarrow V_{h,i} \cdot \nu_i|_{\Gamma_i} \) such that, for any \( \phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma_i) \),
\[ \langle \phi - Q_{h,i}\phi, v \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\Gamma_i} = 0, \quad v \in V_{h,i}. \]

**Assumption 2.1.** Assume that there exists a constant \( C \), independent of \( h \) and \( H \), such that
\[ \|\mu\|_{0,\Gamma_{i,j}} \leq C(\|Q_{h,i}\mu\|_{0,\Gamma_{i,j}} + \|Q_{h,j}\mu\|_{0,\Gamma_{i,j}}), \quad \mu \in M_H, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \]

Condition (2.13) says that the mortar space cannot be too rich compared to the normal traces of the subdomain velocity spaces. Therefore in the sequel, we tacitly assume that \( h \leq H \leq 1 \). This is not a very restrictive condition, and it is easily satisfied in practice (see, e.g., [32]). In the following we treat any function \( \mu \in M_H \) as extended by zero on \( \partial \Omega \). We remark that \( T_{H,i,j} \) need not be conforming if \( M_{H,i,j} \) is discontinuous.

The mixed finite element approximation of (2.8)-(2.10) is given by seeking a map \( \{u_h, p_h, \lambda_H\} : J \rightarrow V_h \times W_h \times M_H \) such that, for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \),
\[ (K^{-1} \rho_w^{-1}(p_h) u_h, v)_{\Omega_i} = (p_h, \nabla \cdot v)_{\Omega_i} - \langle \lambda_H, v \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\Gamma_i}, \]
\[ -\langle p_h, v \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\partial \Omega_i \setminus \Gamma} + (g p_w(p_h) \nabla D, v)_{\Omega_i} \quad v \in V_{h,i}, \]
\[ \langle \partial_t \rho_H p_h(w) + (\nabla \cdot u_h, w)_{\Omega_i} = (f, w)_{\Omega_i}, \quad w \in W_{h,i}, \]
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle \mu, u_h \cdot \nu_j \rangle_{\Gamma_j} = 0, \quad \mu \in M_H, \]
with the initial condition \( p_h(0) = \hat{p}_0 \), \( \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega) \) projection of \( p_0 \) onto \( W_h \). It should be noted that within each block \( \Omega_i \), we define a standard mixed finite element method, e.g., (2.15) enforces local conservation on each grid cell. Moreover, \( u_h \cdot \nu \) is continuous on any element face (or edge) \( e \not\subset \Gamma \cup \partial \Omega \), and (2.16)
enforces weak continuity of flux across these interfaces with respect to the mortar space $M_H$.

The unique solvability of the system (2.14)-(2.16) follows from condition (2.13) and the standard argument given in [24].

## 3. Some projection operators

We first introduce some projection operators needed in the analysis. Let $T^H_h$ be the nodal interpolant operator into the space $M^H_h$, which is the subset of continuous functions in $M_H$ (where we may use the Scott-Zhang [28] operator to define the nodal values of $\psi$ if $\psi$ is not smooth enough to form $T^H_h \psi$ directly). For any $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)$, let $\hat{\varphi} \in W_h$ be its $L^2(\Omega)$ projection satisfying

\[ (\varphi - \hat{\varphi}, w) = 0, \quad w \in W_h. \]

Recall that (2.12) defines the projection $Q_{h,i} : L^2(\Gamma_i) \rightarrow V_{h,i} \cdot \nu_i|_{\Gamma_i}$.

We recall that, for any of the standard mixed spaces,

\[ \nabla \cdot V_{h,i} = W_{h,i}, \]

and there exists a projection $\Pi_i$ of $(H^2(\Omega_i))^d \cap V_i$ onto $V_{h,i}$ (for any $\varepsilon > 0$), satisfying amongst other properties that for any $q \in (H^2(\Omega_i))^d \cap V_i$,

\[ \nabla \cdot \Pi_i q = \nabla \cdot q, \quad (\Pi_i q) \cdot \nu_i = Q_{h,i}(q \cdot \nu_i). \]

Moreover (see [19, 1]),

\[ \| \Pi_i q \|_{0,\Omega_i} \leq C(\| q \|_{0,\Omega_i} + \| \nabla \cdot q \|_{0,\Omega_i}). \]

We assume that the order of approximation of $V_{h,i}$ is $k + 1$ and $W_{h,i}$ is $l + 1$ (and recall that $M_H$ approximates to order $m + 1$). In all cases, $l = k$ or $l = k - 1$, and we have assumed for simplicity that the order of approximation is the same on every subdomain. Our projection operators have the following approximation properties:

\[ \| \psi - T^H_h \psi \|_{r,\Gamma_i} \leq C\| \psi \|_{s,\Gamma_i} H^{s-r}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq m + 1, \quad 0 \leq r \leq l, \]

\[ \| \varphi - \hat{\varphi} \|_0 \leq C\| \varphi \|_0 H^r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq l + 1, \]

\[ \| \nabla \cdot (q - \Pi_i q) \|_{0,\Omega_i} \leq C\| \nabla \cdot q \|_{r,\Omega_i} H^r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq l + 1, \]

\[ \| q - \Pi_i q \|_{0,\Omega_i} \leq C\| q \|_{r,\Omega_i} H^r, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k + 1, \]

\[ \| \psi - Q_{h,i} \psi \|_{-r,\Gamma_i} \leq C\| \psi \|_{-s,\Gamma_i} H^{s-r}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq k + 1, \quad 0 \leq s \leq m, \]

\[ \| (q - \Pi_i q) \cdot \nu_i \|_{-r,\Gamma_i} \leq C\| q \|_{r,\Gamma_i} H^r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq k + 1, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq k + 1, \]

where $\| \cdot \|_{-r}$ is the norm of $H^{-r}$, the dual of $H^r$ (not $H^r_0$). Bounds (3.21) and (3.22)-(3.25) are standard $L^2$-projection approximation results; bound (3.23) can be found in [7, 26]; and (3.20) is a standard interpolation bound.
It is convenient to define the space of weakly continuous velocities, which is the space
\[ V_{h,0} = \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in V_h : \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \mathbf{v}|_{\Omega_i} \cdot \nu_i, \mu \rangle_{\Gamma_i} = 0 \ \forall \ \mu \in M_H \right\}. \]

The following lemma holds; see [1, 2].

**Lemma 3.1.** Under hypothesis (2.13), there exists a projection operator \( \Pi_0 : (H^{1/2+\epsilon}(\Omega)) \cap V \to V_{h,0} \) such that
\[ (\nabla \cdot (\Pi_0 \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}), \mathbf{w})_\Omega = 0, \quad \mathbf{w} \in W_h, \]
and
\[ (3.26) \quad \|\Pi_0 \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}\| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|q\|_{r+1/2,\Omega_i} h^r H^{1/2}, \]
\[ (3.27) \quad \|\Pi_0 \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}\| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\|q\|_{r+2/3,\Omega_i} h^{r+1/3} H^{1/2}), \quad 1 \leq r \leq k + 1, \]
\[ (3.28) \quad \|\Pi_0 \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}\| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|q\|_{r,\Omega_i} h^{r-1/2} H^{1/2}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k + 1, \]
wherein \( \Pi \mathbf{q}|_{\Omega_i} = \Pi_i \mathbf{q}. \)

In the analysis, we will use the nonstandard trace theorem
\[ (3.30) \quad \|q\|_{r,\Gamma_{i,j}} \leq C \|q\|_{r+1/2,\Omega_i}, \]
(see [16, Theorem 1.5.2.1]). For any function \( \mathbf{v} \in V_{h,1} \) (see [25, 7])
\[ (3.31) \quad \langle q, \mathbf{v} \cdot \nu \rangle_{\partial \Omega_i} \leq C \|q\|_{r+1/2,\Omega_i} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{H(\text{div};\Omega_i)}. \]

### 4. Elliptic projection

It is frequently valuable to decompose the analysis of the convergence of finite element methods by passing through a projection of the solution of the differential problem into the finite element space [30]. Let the solution \( \{\mathbf{u}, p, \lambda\} \) be projected into the mixed finite element space by the map \( \{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{\lambda}\} : J \to V_h \times W_h \times M_H \) given by
\[ (4.32) \quad (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})_{\Omega_i} = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\Omega_i} - \langle \tilde{\lambda}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\Gamma_i} - \langle \tilde{p}, \mathbf{v} \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\partial \Omega_i} + \langle g \rho_w(p) \nabla D, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\Omega_i}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in V_{h,i}, \]
\[ (4.33) \quad \langle \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_w(p), w \rangle_{\Omega_i} + \langle \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, w \rangle_{\Omega_i} = \langle f, w \rangle_{\Omega_i}, \quad w \in W_{h,i}, \]
\[ (4.34) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle \mu, \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nu_j \rangle_{\Gamma_j} = 0, \quad \mu \in M_H. \]
Subtracting (4.32)-(4.34) from (2.8)-(2.11), we see that the elliptic projection satisfies the following equations:

\begin{align}
(4.35) \quad & (K^{-1} p^{-1}(u - \tilde{u}), v)_\Omega, \\
& = (p - \bar{p}, \nabla \cdot v)_\Omega - \langle p - \tilde{\lambda}, v \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\Gamma_i}, \quad v \in V_{h,i}, \\
(4.36) \quad & (\nabla \cdot (u - \tilde{u}), w)_\Omega = 0, \quad w \in W_{h,i}, \\
(4.37) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \mu, (u - \tilde{u}) \cdot \nu_j \rangle_{\Gamma_j} = 0, \quad \mu \in M_H.
\end{align}

We note that we can eliminate $\tilde{\lambda}$ from the mixed method (4.35)-(4.37) by restricting $V_h$ to $V_{h,0}$, the space of weakly continuous velocities; that is, the problem is equivalent to finding $\tilde{u} \in V_{h,0}$ and $\tilde{p} \in W_h$ such that

\begin{align}
(4.38) \quad & (K^{-1} p^{-1}(u - \tilde{u}), v) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^n ((p - \bar{p}, \nabla \cdot v)_\Omega - \langle p, v \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\Gamma_i}), \quad v \in V_{h,0}, \\
(4.39) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla \cdot (u - \tilde{u}), w)_\Omega = 0, \quad w \in W_h.
\end{align}

Then, the following estimates are derived in [2].

**Lemma 4.1.** For the velocity $\tilde{u}$ and the pressure $\tilde{p}$ of mixed elliptic projection (4.32)-(4.34), if (2.13) holds, then there exists a positive constant $C$ independent of $h$ and $H$ such that

\begin{align}
(4.40) \quad & \| \nabla \cdot (u - \tilde{u}) \|_0 \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \| \nabla \cdot u \|_{r,\Omega_i, h^r}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq l + 1, \\
(4.41) \quad & \| u - \tilde{u} \|_0 \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \| p \|_{s+1/2,\Omega_i, H^{s-1/2}} + \| u \|_{r,\Omega_i, h^r} \\
& + \| u \|_{r+1/2,\Omega_i, h^{r} H^{1/2}}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k + 1, \ 0 \leq s \leq m + 1, \\
(4.42) \quad & \| p - \bar{p} \|_0 \leq C \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \| p \|_{r,\Omega_i, h^r} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\| p \|_{s+1/2,\Omega_i, H^{s+1/2}} \\
& + \| \nabla \cdot u \|_{r,\Omega_i, h^{r} H} + \| u \|_{r,\Omega_i, h^{r} H} + \| u \|_{r+1/2,\Omega_i, h^{r} H^{3/2}}, \right), \
\end{align}

where $1 \leq r \leq k + 1, \ 0 \leq s \leq m + 1$, and $0 \leq \tau \leq l + 1$.

**Remark 4.1.** Note that it follows from the inverse inequality and Lemma 4.1 that

\begin{align}
(4.43) \quad & \| \tilde{u} \|_{L^\infty(J, L^\infty(\Omega)^d)} \leq C,
\end{align}
when $H = \mathcal{O}(h^{d/(2s-1)})$, which at its limit is $H = \mathcal{O}(h^{d/(2m+1)})$. When $d = 2$, this is not a restriction since it is the asymptotic scaling which maintains the optimal convergence rate for the lowest Raviart-Thomas-Nedelec space $\text{RTN}_0$, $k = l = 0$. In particular, if, say, $m = 2$, then we should take the asymptotic scaling $H = \mathcal{O}(h^{3/5})$. When $d = 3$, we need to assume $H = \mathcal{O}(h^{3/5})$ and if we restrict to the case of diagonal tensor $K$ and Raviart-Thomas-Nedelec (RTN) spaces on rectangular grids, we can use superconvergence of the velocity to drive the boundedness (4.43) [21, 13, 14].

We shall need estimates for $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u - \tilde{u})$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (p - \tilde{p})$.

**Lemma 4.2.** There exists a positive constant $C$ independent of $h$ and $H$ such that for $0 \leq s \leq m + 1$,

$$
\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u - \tilde{u}) \|_0 
\leq C \left[ \| (\Pi_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}) \|_0 + \| u - \tilde{u} \|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \| \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \|_{s+1/2, \Omega} H^{s-1/2} \right].
$$

**Proof.** Noting that $\sum_i (I_{\Gamma_i} p, v \cdot \nu_i) = 0$ for any $v \in V_{h, \Omega}$, we rewrite (4.38)-(4.39) as follows:

\begin{equation}
(K^{-1} \rho^{-1}_w (p)(\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}), v) 
= (K^{-1} \rho^{-1}_w (p)(\Pi_0 u - u), v) 
+ \sum_{i=1}^n ((\tilde{p} - \tilde{p}, \nabla \cdot v)_{\Omega_i} - (p - I_{\Gamma_i} p, v \cdot \nu_i)_{\Gamma_i}), \quad v \in V_{h, \Omega},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla \cdot (\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}), w)_{\Omega_i} = 0, \quad w \in W_{h}.
\end{equation}

Differentiate (4.44)-(4.45) with respect to $t$, take $v = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}), w = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\tilde{p} - \tilde{p})$ and sum the equations to arrive at the following equations.

\begin{equation}
(K^{-1} \rho^{-1}_w (p) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u})) 
= (K^{-1} \rho^{-1}_w (p) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Pi_0 u - u), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u})) 
+ \left( \frac{d}{dt} [K^{-1} \rho^{-1}_w (p)] (u - \tilde{u}), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}) \right) 
+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (I_{\Gamma_i} p - p), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}) \cdot \nu_i \right)_{\Gamma_i}.
\end{equation}
Using (3.31), (3.20), \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(T_H^c p) = T_H^c \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \), and \( \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}) = 0 \), we see that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(T_H^c p - p), \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}) \rangle_{\Gamma_i} 
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \| T_H^c \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \| \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}) \|_{H(\text{div};\Omega_i)}
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \| \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \|_{s+1/2,\Omega_i} \| H^{s-1/2} \| \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}) \|_{0,\Omega_i},
\]

where the first inequality follows by noting that \( T_H^c p - p \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_i) \) if we define \( T_H^c p = p \) on \( \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma \). Therefore, it follows from (4.46) and (A2)-(A3) that

\[
\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}) \|_0 \leq C \left[ \| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u - u) \|_0 + \| u - \tilde{u} \|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \| \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \|_{s+1/2,\Omega_i} \right].
\]

Using \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u) = \Pi_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \) and the triangle inequality, we complete the proof. □

**Lemma 4.3.** There exists a positive constant \( C \) independent of \( h \) and \( H \) such that for \( 0 < s \leq m + 1 \),

\[
\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u - \tilde{u}) \|_0 \leq C \left[ \| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Pi_0 u - u) \|_0 + \| u - \tilde{u} \|_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \| \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \|_{s+1/2,\Omega_i} \right].
\]

**Proof.** Use a duality. Let \( \varphi \) be the solution of

\[
-\Delta \varphi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\hat{p} - \tilde{p}) \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]

\[
\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\]

satisfying elliptic regularity,

\[
\| \varphi \|_2 \leq C \| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\hat{p} - \tilde{p}) \|_0.
\]

Differentiate (4.38) with respect to \( t \) and take \( v = \Pi_0 \nabla \varphi \) and use the weak continuity of \( v \) to see that

\[
\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\hat{p} - \tilde{p}) \|_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\hat{p} - \tilde{p}), \nabla \cdot \Pi_0 \nabla \varphi \rangle_{\Gamma_i}
\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [K^{-1} \rho^{-1}(p)(u - \tilde{u})], \Pi_0 \nabla \varphi \rangle_{\Omega_i}
\quad + \langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - T_H^c \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \Pi_0 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nu_i \rangle_{\Gamma_i}.
\]
Using (A2) and (A3), the first term on the right is estimated as

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [K^{-1} \rho^{-1} (p)(\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})], \Pi_0 \nabla \varphi) \Omega,
\]

\[
(4.49)
\]

\[
\leq C(\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\| + \|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|) \|\varphi\|_2.
\]

For the second term on the right in (4.48) we have

\[
\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - I_H \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \Pi_0 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nu_i \rangle \Gamma_i = \langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - I_H \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, (\Pi_0 \nabla \varphi - \Pi_i \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nu_i \rangle \Omega_i,
\]

\[
(4.50)
\]

\[
\leq C H^{s+1/2} \|\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}\|_{s+1/2, \Omega}, \|\varphi\|_{2, \Omega}, \quad 0 < s \leq m + 1,
\]

using (3.20), (3.27), and (3.25). The proof is completed with (4.47)-(4.50). □

Remark 4.2. Note that the inverse inequality and Lemma 4.3 imply that

\[
\|\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}\|_{L^\infty (J, L^2(\Omega))} \leq C.
\]

\[
(4.51)
\]

5. Error estimates

In this section we derive the error estimates for the pressure and the velocity.

Theorem 5.1. Assume the appropriate inverse inequalities for \(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\) and \(\tilde{p}\) given in Remarks 4.1 and 4.2. Then, there exists a positive constant \(C\) independent of \(h\) and \(H\) such that

\[
\|p - p_h\|_{L^\infty (J, L^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2 (J, H^1(\Omega))^d}
\]

\[
\leq C[\|p - \tilde{p}\|_{W^{2/3, \infty} (J, L^2(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2 (J, H^1(\Omega))^d}].
\]

Proof. Subtracting (2.14)-(2.16) from (4.32)-(4.34) gives the following equations for the error:

\[
(K^{-1} \rho_w^{-1} (p_h)(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h), \nu) = (\tilde{p} - p_h, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})_\Omega, \quad (\tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \lambda_H, \mathbf{v} \cdot \nu_i) \Gamma_i
\]

\[
(5.52)
\]

\[
+ (K^{-1} (\rho_w^{-1} (p) - \rho_w^{-1} (p_h)) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})_\Omega,
\]
\begin{align*}
+ (g(\rho_w(p) - \rho_w(p_h))\nabla D, \mathbf{v})_{\Omega}, & \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}_{h,i}, \\
(\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_w(\bar{p}) - \rho_w(p_h), w)_{\Omega}, + (\nabla \cdot (\bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h), w)_{\Omega}, \\
= (\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_w(\bar{p}) - \rho_w(p)), w)_{\Omega}, & \quad w \in W_{h,i}, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\mu, (\bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h) \cdot \nu_j)_{\Gamma_j} = 0, & \quad \mu \in M_h.
\end{align*}

Choose \( \mathbf{v} = \bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h, \) \( w = \bar{p} - p_h, \) and \( \mu = \bar{\lambda} - \lambda_H \) and add the resulting equations to see that

\begin{equation}
(K^{-1} \rho_w^{-1}(p_h)(\bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h), \bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h)_{\Omega} + (\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_w(\bar{p}) - \rho_w(p_h)), \bar{p} - p_h)_{\Omega},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= (K^{-1} (\rho_w^{-1}(p) - \rho_w^{-1}(p_h)))\bar{u}, \bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h)_{\Omega} + (g(\rho_w(p) - \rho_w(p_h))\nabla D, \bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h)_{\Omega},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ (\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_w(\bar{p}) - \rho_w(p)), \bar{p} - p_h)_{\Omega}.
\end{equation}

Note that as in \([30, 24]\)

\begin{equation}
n\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_i} \phi \int_0^{\bar{p} - p_h} \rho_w(\bar{p} + \xi) d\xi dx - C\|\bar{p} - p_h\|^2_{0, \Omega_i},
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega_i} \phi \int_0^{\bar{p} - p_h} \rho_w(\bar{p} + \xi) d\xi dx \geq \frac{1}{2a^2}\|\bar{p} - p_h\|^2_{0, \Omega_i},
\end{equation}

for \( \rho_w' \) is bounded below positively due to \((A1)\) and \((A2)\). Also, note that by \((A2)\) and \((A3)\)

\begin{equation}
(K^{-1} \rho_w^{-1}(p_h)(\bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h), \bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h)_{0, \Omega_i} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \|\bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|^2_{0, \Omega_i}.
\end{equation}

Summing \((5.53)\) over \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and using the mean-value theorem, the chain rule, \((A1), (A2)\), we arrive at

\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \phi \int_0^{\bar{p} - p_h} \rho'(\bar{p} + \xi) d\xi dx + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \|\bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|^2 \\
\leq C\|\bar{p} - p_h\|_{0, \infty} \|\bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\| + \|p - p_h\| \|\bar{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\| \\
+ (\|p - \bar{p}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial t} \right\|_{0, \infty} + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(p - \bar{p}) \right\|) \|\bar{p} - p_h\|.
\end{align*}
Using (4.43), (4.51), the triangle inequality, and \( ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4}\varepsilon \), we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \phi \left( \tilde{p} - p_h \right) \rho (\tilde{p} + \xi) d\xi dx + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \| \tilde{u} - u_h \|^2 \\
\leq C [ \| p - p_h \|^2 + \| p - \tilde{p} \|^2 + \| \partial_t (p - \tilde{p}) \|^2 + \| u - \tilde{u} \|^2 ].
\]

Integrate in time, use (5.55), and apply Gronwall’s inequality to obtain

\[
\| \tilde{p} - p_h \|_{L^\infty(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \| \tilde{u} - u_h \|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega)^d)} \\
\leq C [ \| p - \tilde{p} \|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \| \partial_t (p - \tilde{p}) \|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega))} + \| u - \tilde{u} \|_{L^2(J;L^2(\Omega)^d)} ].
\]

An application of the triangle inequality completes the proof. □

Note that combining Theorem 5.1, Lemmas 4.1-4.3, and Lemma 3.1, we see that optimal fine scale convergence is obtained by an appropriate choice of mortar grid and polynomial degree of approximation.

6. Numerical results

In this section we present numerical results for some benchmark problems [18]. In particular we consider two problems: the idealized diagonal channel problem and the fluvial reservoir problem (85th layer of the 10th SPE comparative project), that were previously studied for incompressible flow by Aarnes et al. by applying several multiscale mixed methods. Similar solutions were obtained using mortars and they compared favorably. The solutions shown here are of log \( |u| \) for slightly compressible flow. A fluid compressibility factor of 4.0E-05 was assumed. All computations were run in parallel on up to 16 processors at the beowulf cluster in the Center for Subsurface Modeling at the University of Texas at Austin.

6.1. Diagonal Channel

This problem has proved quite challenging to most multiscale methods. Here, a single high-permeability channel goes diagonally from the source to the sink. The domain is a square \( 64m \times 64m \times 1m \). The permeability is 100 times as high along the diagonal as it is elsewhere. A unit source and unit sink are located at either ends of the high-permeable layer (which is 3 elements thick, away from the boundary). The domain is partitioned into 64 subdomains (8 x 8 coarse mesh). Each subdomain is further sub-divided into an 8 x 8 fine-mesh (giving a fine element size of \( 1m \times 1m \)).

The Figure 1 shows the reference solution on the left, on a single-domain (64m x 64m fine mesh) and the mortar solution on the right on an 8 x 8 subdomain partition. Further, we find that by applying a posteriori error estimates loosely based on [31], the mortar degrees of freedom can be chosen to be coarser away from the regions where the error in the solution is higher, while...
Figure 1. Diagonal channel: Reference and mortar solutions.

preserving the accuracy of the solution. These are shown in Figure 2 on a $4 \times 4$ coarse mesh partitioning.

Figure 2. Diagonal channel: Residual based errors and coarse-mortar solution.

6.2. Fluvial Reservoir

As a second example, we considered a more realistic fluvial reservoir problem, where the permeability field contains many narrow high flow “channels”. This permeability data was taken from Layer 85 of the 10th SPE Comparative Project [9]. For comparison purposes, although the true measurements are in feet, the solution was calculated in SI units as in [18]. The fine mesh consisted of $60 \times 220$ elements. Sources and sinks are placed in a five-spot pattern, with a unit source in the middle and sinks of strength 1/4 each at the four corners. The true (reference) solution and the solution on a $5 \times 11$ coarse mesh (55 subdomains) using mortars are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The mortar degrees of freedom were again chosen coarse where the errors were small. The errors were highest at the bottom-left and top-right corners of the domain because of the very low permeability, yet equal flow rates at the sinks in these corners.
7. Conclusion

Multiscale mortar mixed finite element method [2] has been extended to a nonlinear parabolic problem. Both theoretical error estimates and computational results on multiscale benchmark problems have been presented. These computational examples, especially for compressible flows, have proven challenging for emerging multiscale algorithms. Our simulation results are among the first to have been performed on a parallel cluster.

A posteriori error estimators for the mortar spaces have been applied in the computations. Details of this work including analysis and parallel scalability will appear in a future paper.
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